UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,161	06/14/2005	Thomas Gradel	190-90	2686
2746 WILLIAM H.	7590 01/17/2007 FILBERG		EXAM	IINER
THREE BALA PLAZA SUITE 501 WEST BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004			WOODALL, NICHOLAS W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3733	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,161	GRADEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicholas Woodall	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 /</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examination. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination. Application may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that are objected to by the Examination.	er. cepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	Administ. Note the attached office	7,700,011,011,111,110,102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/539,161 Page 2

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 11/09/2006.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. The indicated allowability of claim 13 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Zindrick (U.S. Publication 2004/0092929). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. Claims 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brace (U.S. Patent 6,187,005) in view of Stucker (U.S. Patent 5,713,898).

Brace discloses the invention as claimed except for the interior surface of the sliding connecting piece comprises two points opposite the receiving means that are parallel and offset in the direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the sliding connection piece. Stucker teaches a device comprising two points opposite the receiving means that are parallel and offset in the direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the sliding connection piece in order to attach the device to bone

(column 7 lines 14-18). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the sliding connecting piece of Brace with two points on the interior surface of the piece opposite the receiving means that are parallel and offset in the direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the sliding connecting piece in view of Stucker in order to attach the device to bone.

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Brace and Stucker discloses the invention as claimed except for the points being generally triangular structures in a plane perpendicular to the direction of the transverse axis. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the points as a generally triangular flat structure in a plane perpendicular to the direction of the transverse axis, since applicant has not disclosed that such solve any stated problem or is anything more than one of numerous shapes or configurations a person ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing a forming edge in the heating portion or clamp. In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPQ 47 (1966).

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brace (U.S. Patent 6,187,005) in view of Stucker (U.S. Patent 5,713,898) further in view of Zindrick (U.S. Publication 2004/0092929).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Brace and Stucker disclose the invention as claimed except for the two triangular points comprising retaining teeth on two sides.

Zindrick discloses a device comprising two points further including teeth on two sides in order to provide increased surface contact with the vertebral bone page 4 paragraph

, application of the control of the

Art Unit: 3733

44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the device of Brace modified by Stucker wherein the two triangular points included teeth on two sides in view of Zindrick in order to provide increased surface contact with the vertebral bone.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brace (U.S. Patent 6,187,005) in view of Stucker (U.S. Patent 5,713,898) in view of Zindrick (U.S. Publication 2004/0092929) further in view of Schafer (U.S. Patent 5,662,652).

The combination of Brace, Stucker, and Zindrick disclose the invention as claimed except for the interior face of the sliding connecting piece being concave and substantially cylindrical with a circular profile. Schafer teaches a bone plate that has a concave interior surface that is substantially cylindrical with a circular profile in order to create better contact between the bone plate and the bone surface (column 8 lines 5-11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the sliding connecting piece of Brace modified by Stucker further modified by Zindrick with a concave interior surface that is substantially cylindrical with a circular profile in view of Schafer to create better contact between the bone plate and the bone surface.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11, 12, and 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner agrees with the applicant that the Hegde reference does not qualify as prior art under 102(e) because of the applicant's claim to foreign priority to document France Application

Application/Control Number: 10/539,161 Page 5

Art Unit: 3733

02/16235 filed on 12/17/2002. The examiner has issued new grounds of rejection with a different reference as discussed above.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for cited references the examiner felt were relevant to the application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Woodall whose telephone number is 571-272-5204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 to 5:30 EST...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tóll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDUARDO C. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER